Secti. II-27—45,004—14-11-29.

Law (General) (1931) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. No. 1698

DATED 13-4-1931.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legal assistance - Fees to Pleader - Defence of Rao Bahadur Nilakantan Nair - Inspector of Police - South Kanara district in A.S. No.65 of 1928 on the file of the District Judge South Kanara - Expenditure sanctioned.

C.F. 89.

POLICE **DEPARTMENT.**

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

L. dis 1242B
7/5/31

Subject.

Legal assistance - M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur K. Nilakantan Nayar, Inspector of Police, South Kanara district - O.S. No. 537/26 on the file of the District Munsif, Mangalore.

Police 1031 5.3.31

To, Law (Gen) Dept
No 1031/1 6.3.31
C&J 5/3/31

5- MAR 1931

ENCLOSURES.
No. 25 Sheets
Spare copies 1

Re No. 277 Acer/28

Station Madras. *Dated the* 4th March 1931

From (Name) C.B. Cunningham, Esquire, C.S.I.

(Designation) Inspector-General of Police.

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public (Police) Department.

Sir,

7 MAR 1931

(J)

Reference.- G.O. No. Mis. 684, Law (General), dated 24th February 1928.

I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to sanction an expenditure of Rs.104-6-0 on account of the defence of Inspector M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur K. Nilakantan Nair in the appeal preferred by the plaintiff against the judgment of the District Munsif, Mangalore, in O.S. No. 537/26 on his file.

2. The plaintiff Paul Domingo Picardo brought a civil suit for damages against the Inspector for acts done in his official

official capacity. The suit was dismissed with costs by the District Munsif, Mangalore, and the charges incurred in connectic with the defence of the Inspector were sanctioned by Government in G.O's. 684, Law (General) Department, dated 24th February 19.. and No. 1628, Mis. Law (General) Department, dated 7th May 1928.

3. The plaintiff preferred an appeal against the judgment of the District Munsif. This appeal also has been dismissed with costs by the District Judge, South Kanara in Appeal Suit No. 33 of 1928. I enclose a copy of the judgment and the decree for perusal and favour of return.

4. The defence of the Inspector at public expense in the appeal was sanctioned by me provisionally.

5. A statement showing the charges incurred in connection with the defence is enclosed. Out of Rs.104-6-0 incurred, a sum of Rs.70/- represents fees to the Pleader and is debitable to "34.— Administration of justice - Law Officers - Supplies and Services - Voted - Miscellaneous - Mainly fees to Pleaders not in Government service". The balance of Rs.34-6-0 can be met from the appropriation under "26.c. Police - District Executive Force - 1 District Police - Contingencies - Voted - Miscellaneous".

6. The same pleader who defended the Inspector in the original suit was engaged in the appeal and a consolidated fee of Rs.70/- was settled for the conduct of the appeal.

7. The sum of Rs.71/- awarded by the court as costs will on recovery be credited to Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Inspr.-Gen. of Police.

rk.3.3.31.

3

Rao Bahadur K. Nilakantan Nair, 2nd Respondent

in Appeal No. 63 of 1928

On the file of the District Judge of South Kanara.

			Rs	A	P
9-3-1928.	To stamp for 2nd respondent's vakalat.	..	1	-	-
20-3-1928.	To one copy application.	..	-	2	-
,,	To 4 copy stamps.	..	-	12	-
1-8-1928.	To stamp for Interlocutory application.	..	-	12	-
,,	To stamp for certified copies of decree and judgment.	..	1	12	-
,,	To stamps for certified copies of documents exhibited in the case replacing the originals returned to the Office of D.S.P.	..	20	8	-
11-8-1928.	To notice of I.A. to respondent. (Plff).	..	-	8	-
18-11-1930.	To Counsel's fee in appeal.	..	70	-	-
	To miscellaneous charges.	..	4	-	-
	To certified copies of decree and judgment in appeal applied for.	..	5	-	-
	Total.	..	104	6	-

Same as by Court with 1 rebuff

****

70 — 0 — 0
30 — 6 — 0
104 — 6 — 0

Disallowed by Taxing Officer

for Inspr.-Genl. of Police.

rk.3.3.31.

4

Law (Penal) Dept

1242B

From the Dig. of Police Memo 277 Conf/28 dy 4.3.31.

Legal assistance - Fee of Leader - Defence of Rao Bahadur K. Nilakantan Nair. Inspector of Police - South Kanara Dt

Whence see the Dig/s of Police recommendation to sanction a sum of Rs 104-6-0 for the defence of Rao Bahadur K. Nilakantan Nair, Insp. of Police in Dis. No 63 of 1929 on the file of the Dt. Judge, South Kanara in G.O. No. 684 Law (Penal) dy 24.2.28 and 1628 Law (General) dy 7.5.28 got sanctioned the necessary expenditure in connection with the same case when it was on the file of the District Munsif, Mangalore - original Court.

(J)

(K)

The Govt may now sanction the expenditure incurred as shown in the list at p 3 cf. minus Rs 4-0-0 being miscellaneous charges for which particulars may be called for

Draft submitted. may be sent to Public (Police) & Finance for acceptance

Police 26 MAR 1931 1363 CHIEF SECT. GOVT. OF MADRAS

Dig. wo 1242 B-1 25.3.31

KT. 17.3.31.

HH 19/3

BR 28/3

S Dig 24/3

Pub (Police) wo Fin

5 R

Pub (Police) Deptt

The draft order may be accepted in this deptt subject to the corrections indicated in pencil in paragraph 3 of the draft. The file may be passed on to Finance

ARN
25/3/31

6/3/3 Lin

Pub(Pol)
No 1363 J
30.3.31

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
30 MAR 1931
FINANCE DEPT.

Finance GR.

The draft Order may be accepted and the case returned to Land(I) 1147o

31.3.31
ARN
2.4.31

4/4/31

Z/17658
5.4.31

Law (Genl)

Law (Gen) Deptt

Fin No 11478 Ex
8.4.31

A.S. The alterations suggested by Pub[illegible] may be accepted. The draft may issue as [illegible]

NB
9.4.31.

9/4

BJR
10/4

APR 1931

Scott. II-5 (a)

6/ Land (Genl) DEPARTMENT

	Date.	Initials
When received for Fair-copying		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..	15/4	
When despatched ..		

Legal and Leave
Fees to Pleaders. Defence of Rao Bahadur K. Nilakantam Nair - Insp. of Police South Kanara Dt. - in A.S. No 63 of 1928 South Kanara Dt. - on the file of the Dt. Treasury South Kanara. Expenditure Sanctioned

68/(Genl)

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 684 Land (Genl) dated 24.2.1928.

" " " " 1628 Land (Genl) " 7.5.1928.

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 12425 From the Dy. Inspr. of Police RC, No. 277/28 dated 4.3.1937.

" " " " " " " " 19 .

" " " " " " " " 19 .

ORDER.

No. 1698, dated 13-4. 19 3.

Nig

The Govt Sanction an expenditure of Rs 100-6-0 (Rupees one hundred and annas six only) as shown in the margin in connection with the defence of Rao Bahadur K. Nilakantam Nair, Inspr of Police, South Kanara Dt - in A.S. No 63 of 1928 on the file of the Dt Treasury, South Kanara.

2 The pleader's fee of Rs 97-0 (Rupees ninety seven only) will be debited to "24-6- Administration of Justice - Land Revenue (Reserved - D. Contingencies & Stamps) Supplies & Services - Fees to Pleaders etc in Govt Service and other Supplies and Services"

P. T. O

X Fees to Pleaders - Rs 70-0-0
Other Expenses - Rs 30-0-0
} Rs 100-0-0

1

3 The Sum expense of Rs 30 —
(Rupees Thirty and annas Six only)
will be debited to "26- C. Police.
District Executive Force- Reserve - C.A
Police - C.A.F Contingencies - other - Other petty contingencies Miscellaneous

4 The Dig. of Police is requested
to furnish particulars for the
sum of Rs 4 (Rupees four only) reported
to the miscellaneous charges. expenses.

5 The sum of Rs 71 (Rupees — [illegible]
seventy-one only) awarded to
Cost to the Inspector under Police
should be recovered and
credited to Govt

Bes 18/4/87

To the Dig. of Police. Govt. of Bengal Home Dept.
To the Dig. Municipal Branch.
Superintendent Police Depot

KP. 17.3.87

BR 28/3

HD 19/3

EJ 24/3